

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/885,296	(06/20/2001	Atsushi Shimizu	15115/003001	2665	
22511	7590	03/03/2004		ĘXAM	EXAMINER	
ROSENTHAL & OSHA L.L.P.				OUELLETTE, JONATHAN P		
1221 MCKINNEY AVENUE SUITE 2800		'ENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON, TX 77010				3629	3629	

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)							
Advisory Action	09/885,296	SHIMIZU, ATSUSHI							
, , ,	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Jonathan Ouellette	3629	116						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 20 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.									
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]									
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.									
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be									
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);									
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);									
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or									
(d) they present additional claims without cancelling	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	S.						
NOTE:			:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject									
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment						
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>See</u>		dered but does NO	T place the						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:									
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: 23-36.									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·							
10. Other:									

6. . 3

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner withdraws the 101 rejection of Claims 23-28, 35, and 36. However, the rejection 103(a) rejection of claims 23-36 as being anticipated by Bechet et al. will stand as final. The applicant makes the argument that the prior art of Bechet fails to disclose a procees to supplement the weak capability in the organization with the strong capability of a specific individual. However, Bechet does disclose calculating staffing gaps (shortages) and surpluses by comparing demand to supply (predetermined criteria) in each category - then identifying the staffing action (e.g., movement) needed to close gaps and eliminate shortages/surpluses.